GEBCO Bathymetric Science Day - 29 Sept. 2009 (Oceanopolis, BREST)

Setting up a unified 100m bathymetry model for the French coastal areas

- methodology and innovative outcomes -

N. Jeannée, O. Lemarchand (GEOVARIANCES)
B. Loubrieu, J.F. Bourillet (IFREMER Géosciences Marines)
E. Moussat (IFREMER SISMER)
J. Populus (IFREMER DYNECO)
J.C. Le Gac, G. Morvan (SHOM)

Contact: Mail: jeannee@geovariances.com
Tel: +33 (0)1 60 74 74 54 – Mob: +33 (0)6 84 04 35 41

Geovariances
Where no one has gone before

SHOM

Ifremer

Contents

- Introduction
- Input data
- Methodology and Results
  - Bathymetry modeling
  - Overview of by-products (DTM quality, acquisition year, …)
- Conclusions and Perspectives

Introduction

- Context
  - Importance of bathymetry models for numerous oceanographic projects
  - For each specific project, bathymetry is usually modeled using available data: SHOM bathymetric database (BDBS), port authorities datasets, multi-beam datasets acquired during bathymetry surveys, already existing high-resolution bathymetry models…

  ⇒ Several drawbacks:

  i) Inconsistency between data QC procedures, modeling algorithms and characteristics of bathymetry products,

  ii) Loss of efficiciency and information when the same area need to be modeled again for another project…

- Objective

  Set up a unified bathymetry model at 100m which ensures, for the French coastal zones, the consistency of both:

  i) data processing, merge and modeling procedures,

  ii) bathymetry products delivered for a whole region.

Input Data

- **Bathymetric Data**
  - SHOM Soundings (BDBS)



Geovariances
Where no one has gone before

Input Data

- **Bathymetric Data**
  - SHOM Soundings (BDBS)
  - Other sources
    - Bordeaux
    - Various SHOM data
    - Dunkerque
    - SHOM Iroise 100m
    - RouenLeHavre
    - StNazaire



Geovariances
Where no one has gone before

Input Data

- **Bathymetric Data**
  - SHOM Soundings (BDBS)
  - Other sources
    - Bordeaux
    - Various SHOM data
    - Dunkerque
    - SHOM Iroise 100m
    - RouenLeHavre
    - StNazaire
  - Local DTM models
    - Mont St Michel (100m)
    - Lannion bay (5m)
    - Douarnenez bay (10m)
    - Capbreton Canyon (40m)



Geovariances
Where no one has gone before

Input Data

- **Bathymetric Data**
  - SHOM Soundings (BDBS)
  - Other sources
    - Bordeaux
    - Various SHOM data
    - Dunkerque
    - SHOM Iroise 100m
    - RouenLeHavre
    - StNazaire
  - Local DTM models
    - Mont St Michel (100m)
    - Lannion bay (5m)
    - Douarnenez bay (10m)
    - Capbreton Canyon (40m)



Geovariances
Where no one has gone before

Input Data

- Auxiliary data : coast line and isobaths
  - Coast line (black) and isobath 50m (green): frontiers for data interpolation near the coast and towards the open sea
  - Height of the water at the maximum of the highest tide at coast line (SHOM software) potentially used to constrain data interpolation near the coast
  - Isobath zero (ZeroCM - IFREMER/SHOM) used for comparison with the DTM model



Geovariances
Where no one has gone before

Methodology

- Pre-processing
  - Choice of a projection system: Mercator N46
  - Automation of data import (journal files)
  - Acquisition year extraction from the survey number (SHOM) or datafile names (other sources)

- Data Quality Control
  - Redundancy and consistency of various bathymetry datasets:
    - Consistency checked in overlapping areas (scatter diagrams, comparison of short range variability…)
    - Application of several priority criteria:
      - spatial area covered by the dataset (the widther the better),
      - acquisition year (the younger the better),
      - data origin (SHOM)
    - Mixing of both manual and automatic procedures
  - Transmission of information about erroneous data to the SHOM
  - Merge of remaining files and tiles

Methodology

- Bathymetry modeling methodology:
  - Geostatistical framework (flexibility, possibility to quantify DTM uncertainty)
  - On two representative tiles, comparison of several modeling techniques:
    - ordinary kriging with default or fitted variogram,
    - FAI-k kriging (fitting of local trends).
- Choice of the most relevant approach based on several criteria:
  - Visual quality control of DTM (empirical)
  - Use of a validation dataset (50% of data) not used for the DTM computation
  - Comparison to multi-beam high resolution models (Lannion)
- Most relevant approach:
  - Kriging with linear model and small nugget component
  - Neighborhood choice:
    - Octants, 2 neighbors per octant (max. number of consecutive empty octants allowed: 3)
    - Neighborhood size: 250m, min. number of neighbors: 4

Bathymetry Model: Results





Geovariances
Where no one has gone before

Bathymetry Model: Results

- Filling towards the open sea: DTM 500m (IFREMER)





Geovariances
Where no one has gone before

Bathymetry Model: Results

- English Channel
  - Undersea dunes
  - Artefacts in the East



Geovariances
Where no one has gone before

Bathymetry Model: Results

- Southern Britanny



Geovariances
Where no one has gone before

Bathymetry Model: Results

- **Quality control of results**
  - Good consistency of DTM isobath 0m with the reference ZeroCM, except in under-sampled areas



Geovariances
Where no one has gone before

Bathymetry Model: Results

- **Quality control of results: Gironde river's mouth**



Overview of by-products

- Aim: improve the product qualification
- By-products:
  - DTM uncertainty (quality) ⇐
  - Acquisition year ⇐
  - Interpolation method
  - Producer / provider organization
  - Survey number
- Outcome:
  - These products allow advanced data qualification and are currently transposed to other applications
  - Full automation of the entire procedure

Methodology

- **DTM uncertainty**
  - Kriging standard deviation
  - Unique variogram model (stationary assumption) ⇒ same order of magnitude wherever we are (smooth vs. highly variable areas)
  - Alternative: locally weight the kriging standard deviation according to the local variability of bathymetry



Geovariances
Where no one has gone before

Methodology

- **DTM uncertainty**

Local $\sigma^2 \rightarrow$







Geovariances
Where no one has gone before

Methodology

- **Acquisition year**
  - Computation of local statistics about the age
  - Acquisition year: average year, standard deviation, minimum, maximum, Difference max-min





Conclusions and Perspectives

- Methodological outcomes
  - Application of classical geostatistical algorithms
  - Fulfilment of objectives in terms of spatial resolution, uncertainty and age description
  - Full automation of the modeling procedure, from data import to DTM export of results
  - Difficulties to identify abnormal profiles on some surveys (ex: MSM)

- Perspectives
  - Mediterranean sea and Corsica
  - Regular update of models in order to integrate newly acquired data
  - « Moving-Geostatistics » methodology, jointly developed with the company Estimages, to account for local bathymetry characteristics

Questions

- **Test tiles for the choice of the interpolation model**

Tile 18090



Tile 14583



Geovariances
Where no one has gone before